On the Category of Group Codes

Rolando Gómez Macedo and **Felipe Zaldívar**

arXiv:1409.6382v1 [cs.IT] 23 Sep 2014

***Abstract*—For the category of group codes, that generalizes the category of linear codes over a finite field, and with the generalized notions of direct sums and indecomposable group codes, we prove that every MDS non trivial code, every perfect non trivial code, and every constant weight nondegenerate group code are indecomposable. We prove that every group code is a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, and using this result we obtain the automorphism groups of any group code in terms of its decomposition in indecomposable components. We conclude with the determination of the structure of decomposable cyclic group codes.**

***Index Terms*—Group code, indecomposable code, automorphism of codes, perfect codes, constant-weight codes, MDS codes, cyclic codes.**

I. Introduction

Slepian [8] and Assmus [1] studied the category of linear codes over a finite field. In this work we extend this categorical formulation to include codes defined over an arbitrary finite alphabet A. Here, A^n is a metric space for the Hamming distance, and a code over the alphabet A is a non empty subset $C \subseteq A^n$ with the induced metric. If $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in C$, the ball of center c and radius r is $B_r(c) = \{x \in A^n \mid d(x,c) \leq r\}$. The key point is the definition of morphism. In [1] Assmus defines a morphism of linear codes, over a finite field, as a linear transformation $\psi : C \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $d(\psi(c_1), \psi(c_2)) \leq d(c_1, c_2)$ for $c_1, c_2 \in C$, where d is the Hamming distance in the corresponding $\mathbb{F}_q^r$. An immediate consequence is that $\psi : C \to \mathcal{D}$ is an isomorphism if and only if it is an isometry. Several authors observed that this notion of isomorphism does not seem to take into account the number of errors that each of the involved codes corrects. To take this property into the definition Constantinescu and Heise [2] proposed the following: given linear codes $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, an isomorphism between C and $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear isometry $\varphi : C \to \mathcal{D}$ that is the restriction of a linear isometry $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q^m$. By the MacWilliams Extension Theorem [4] and [5], see also [9, Theorems 6.3 and 6.4.], the two previous definitions of isomorphism are equivalent in the category of linear codes.

Generalizing the above definitions to codes over an arbitrary alphabet A, a *morphism* between codes $C \subseteq A^n$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$ is a map $\varphi : A^n \to A^m$ such that $\varphi(C) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $d_{A^m}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq d_{A^n}(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in A^n$. We say that φ is an *isomorphism* if the map $\varphi : A^n \to A^m$ is bijective and its inverse ψ is a morphism $\psi : \mathcal{D} \to C$ of codes.

R. Gómez Macedo is with the Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 México D. F., México. (e-mail: rolando@ciencias.unam.mx).

F. Zaldívar is with the Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, 09340 México D. F., México. (e-mail: fz@xanum.uam.mx).

There is a natural notion of direct sum, since we have a bijection of $A^m \times A^m$ to A^{n+m}, the latter has a Hamming distance given by $d = d_{A^n} + d_{A^m}$. If $C \subseteq A^n$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$ are codes, its *direct sum* is the code $C \oplus \mathcal{D} = \{(x,y) \in A^{n+m} \mid x \in C, y \in \mathcal{D}\}$. The following properties are immediate:

1) $C \oplus \mathcal{D}$ has length $n + m$.
2) The minimum distance of the direct sum is $d_{A^{n+m}}(C \oplus \mathcal{D}) = \min\{d_{A^n}(C), d_{A^m}(\mathcal{D})\}$.
3) $|C \oplus \mathcal{D}| = |C| \cdot |\mathcal{D}|$.
4) $C \oplus \mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D} \oplus C$.

Let $C, C' \subseteq A^n$, $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}' \subseteq A^m$ be codes and $\varphi : C \to C'$, $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ code morphisms. The *sum* $\varphi \oplus \psi : C \oplus \mathcal{D} \to C' \oplus \mathcal{D}'$ is the code morphism given by $(\varphi \oplus \psi)(x,y) = (\varphi(x), \psi(y))$ for $(x,y) \in A^{n+m}$. If φ and ψ are isomorphisms, then $\varphi \oplus \psi$ is also an isomorphism. Following Slepian [8] we say that a code $C \subseteq A^n$ is *decomposable* if there are codes $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq A^l$ such that C is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. If C is not decomposable we say that C is an *indecomposable code*.

In Section II we give examples of decomposable codes, in particular we will show that all non trivial MDS or perfect codes are indecomposable. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions for a code to be indecomposable.

When the alphabet is a finite group G and the codes are subgroups of G^n, following Slepian [8] we call these codes *group codes*. In Section III we study the category of group codes. The main result is that every group code has a decomposition as a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, unique up to isomorphism. Using this result we describe the automorphism group of a group code in terms of the automorphism groups of its indecomposable summands.

Throughout this paper we use the standard concepts: For a code $C \subseteq A^n$ over an alphabet A, its length is n, its minimum distance $d(C)$ is the usual one, and its *dimension* is $k = \log_q |C|$, where $q = |A|$ is the cardinality of the set A. In this situation we say that C is a $[n,k,d]_q$-code. The usual Singleton bound holds: $k + d \leq n + 1$. An MDS code (maximum distance separable code) is a code such that its parameters satisfy $k + d = n + 1$. An integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is a *correcting error radius* for C, if $B_r(c_1) \cap B_r(c_2) = \emptyset$ for all $c_1, c_2 \in C$ with $c_1 \neq c_2$. The largest correcting radius of a code C is $e = \left\lfloor \frac{d(C)-1}{2} \right\rfloor$, an it is called the *correction capacity* of the code C. We say that $z \in A^n$ *corrects the word* $c \in C$, if there exists a correcting error radius r for C such that $z \in B_r(c)$. A *perfect code* is a code $C \subseteq A^n$ such that any word of A^n corrects a word of C. A *trivial code* is a code isomorphic to some A^n.

Proposition 1: A perfect code C with capacity correction e is perfect if and only if e is a correction radius for C and for all $x \in A^n$ there exists $c \in C$ such that $x \in B_e(c)$.

Proposition 2: (1) If C is a $[n,k,d]_q$ MDS code over A, then C is trivial if and only if $d = 1$.

(2) A perfect code with correction capacity e is trivial if and only if $e = 0$.

If A is an alphabet and $x_0 \in A^n$ is a fixed element, the *weight* of $y \in A^n$ relative to x_0 is $w_{x_0}(y) = d(y, x_0)$. If $x_0 \in A^n$ and $0 \leq r \leq n$ we denote by $R^r_{x_0} = \{y \in A^n | w_{x_0}(y) = r\}$ the sphere of centre x_0 and radius r. A code $C \subseteq A^n$ is a *constant weight code* if there exists $x_0 \in A^n$ such that $C \subseteq R^r_{x_0}$.

We let S_A denote the group of permutations of the set A. In particular, if $A = I_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, we set $S_{I_n} = S_n$. A function $f : A^n \to A^n$ is a *configuration* of A^n if there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in S_A$ such that $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (f_1(a), \ldots, f_n(a))$ for all $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$. An equivalence of A^n is a map $\overline{\sigma} : A^n \to A^n$ induced by an element $\sigma \in S_n$ and given by $\overline{\sigma}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)})$. Configurations and equivalences of A^n are isometries of A^n. We denote by $\mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ the group of configurations of A^n, by $\mathrm{Equ}(A^n)$ the group of equivalences of A^n and by $\mathrm{Iso}(A^n)$ the group of isometries of A^n. Markov Jr. see [6, Theorem 14.2, pp. 300] and Constantinescu and Heise [2] have proven the following:

Theorem 3: If φ is an isometry of A^n, there exist $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(A^n)$ and $f \in \mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ such that $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma}$.

In general, if $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, they induce a configuration $f_\sigma \in \mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ by means of $f_\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (f_{\sigma(1)}(x_1), \ldots, f_{\sigma(n)}(x_n))$ for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in A^n$. It follows that $\overline{\sigma}^{-1} \circ f \circ \overline{\sigma} = f_{\sigma^{-1}}$ and therefore the group of configurations $\mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(A^n)$. Moreover, since $\mathrm{Conf}(A^n) \cap \mathrm{Equ}(A^n) = \{\mathrm{Id}_{A^n}\}$, then $\mathrm{Iso}(A^n)$ is a semidirect product:

Corollary 4: $\mathrm{Iso}(A^n) = \mathrm{Conf}(A^n) \rtimes \mathrm{Equ}(A^n)$.

II. Indecomposable codes over arbitrary alphabets

Given an arbitrary finite alphabet A, the *category of codes over A* has as objects the codes on the alphabet A. A *morphism* between two codes $C \subseteq A^n$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$ is a map $\varphi : A^n \to A^m$ such that $\varphi(C) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and moreover $d_{A^m}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq d_{A^n}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in A^n$. We denote this morphism by $\varphi : C \to \mathcal{D}$. Clearly, for any code $C \subseteq A^n$ the identity $\mathrm{Id} : A^n \to A^n$ is a morphism $\mathrm{Id} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$. The composition of two morphisms is also a morphism. An *isomorphism* of codes is a morphism $\varphi : C \to \mathcal{D}$ such that the map $\varphi : A^n \to A^m$ is bijective and its inverse $\psi : A^m \to A^n$ is a morphism of codes $\psi : \mathcal{D} \to C$. It follows that $n = m$ and that $\varphi \circ \psi = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi = \mathrm{Id}_C$. If there is an isomorphism between the codes $\mathcal{D}$ and C we will use the notation $C \simeq \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, isomorphisms are restrictions of isometries of A^n since for all $x, y \in A^n$,

$$d(x, y) = d(\psi(\varphi(x)), \psi(\varphi(y)) \leq d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq d(x, y).$$

An *automorphism* is an isomorphism of a code onto itself.

Example 5: If $C \subseteq A^n$ is a code and $1 \leq m < n$, let $Y = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \subseteq I_n$, where $i_j \leq i_k$ for $j \leq k$. The function $\pi_Y : A^n \to A^m$ given by $\pi_Y(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m})$, determines the code $\pi_Y(C) = \{\pi_Y(c) \in A^m \mid c \in C\} \subseteq A^m$ and a morphism of codes $\pi_Y : C \to \pi_Y(C)$, called the Y-proyección of C.

Example 6: For codes $C \subseteq A^m$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^l$, and $b \in \mathcal{D}$, a fixed element, the map $i_b : A^m \to A^m \oplus A^l$ given by $i_b(x) = (x, b)$ for $x \in A^m$, defines a morphism $i_b : C \to C \oplus \mathcal{D}$. Observe that for all $x, y \in A^m$ we have that $d(x, y) = d(i_b(x), i_b(y))$. Similarly, if $a \in C$ we have the morphism $i_a : \mathcal{D} \to C \oplus \mathcal{D}$ given by $i_a(y) = (a, y)$ for $y \in A^l$.

Example 7: For any alphabet A:

1) Every non empty subset of A is an indecomposable code.
2) If $C = A^n$, then $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} C_i$, where $C_i = A$ for each i, and A is indecomposable.

Proposition 8: Every non trivial MDS code is indecomposable.

Proof: Assume there exist an $[n, k, d]_q$ nontrivial MDS code C over A and codes $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq A^l$ such that $C \simeq \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $d(\mathcal{D}) \leq d(\mathcal{E})$. Since $|\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}| = |\mathcal{D}|\,|\mathcal{E}|$, if $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ have parameters $[m, k_1, d(\mathcal{D})]_q$ and $[l, k_2, d(\mathcal{E})]_q$, then $k = log_q(|\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}|) = k_1 + k_2$. Moreover, the parameters of $\mathcal{D}$ y $\mathcal{E}$ satisfy $k_1 + d(\mathcal{D}) \leq m + 1$ y $k_2 + d(\mathcal{E}) \leq l + 1$, respectively. Adding and using that $d(C) = min\{d(\mathcal{D}), d(\mathcal{E})\} = d(\mathcal{D})$, it follows that:

$$k + d(C) + d(\mathcal{E}) = (k_1 + k_1) + d(\mathcal{D}) + d(\mathcal{E}) \leq m + l + 2 = n + 2.$$

Finally, since C is an MDS code, $d(C) = d(\mathcal{D}) \leq d(\mathcal{E}) \leq 1$, by Proposition 2, C would be a trivial code, a contradiction. □

Proposition 9: Every non trivial perfect code is indecomposable.

Proof: Assume there exist an $C \subseteq A^n$ a perfect non trivial code and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq A^l$ codes such that $C \simeq \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. Assume that these codes have error capacities e_C, $e_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{E}}$, respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume that $d(\mathcal{D}) \leq d(\mathcal{E})$. Then, $e_{\mathcal{D}} = \left\lfloor \frac{d(\mathcal{D})-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d(\mathcal{E})-1}{2} \right\rfloor = e_{\mathcal{E}}$. In particular $e_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a correcting error radius for $\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, since $d(C) = min\{d(\mathcal{D}), d(\mathcal{E})\}$, then $e_C = e_{\mathcal{D}}$. Let $a \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in A^l$. Since $\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ is a perfect code, there exists $(b, c) \in \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ such that $d_{A^n}((a, x), (b, c)) \leq e_C$. Therefore

$$d_{A^l}(x, c) \leq d_{A^m}(a, x) + d_{A^l}(b, c) = d_{A^n}((a, x), (b, c)) \leq e_C = e_{\mathcal{D}}$$

By Proposition 1, $e_{\mathcal{D}} = e_{\mathcal{E}}$. Now consider $(\beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ and let $y \in A^m$, $z \in A^l$ such that $d_{A^m}(\beta, y) = e_C$ and $d_{A^l}(\gamma, z) = e_C$. Since $\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ is a perfect code, there exists $(\beta', \gamma') \in \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$d_{A^m}(\beta', y) + d_{A^l}(\gamma', z) = d_{A^n}((\beta', \gamma'), (y, z)) \leq e_C = e_{\mathcal{D}} = e_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Therefore $d_{A^m}(\beta', y) \leq e_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $d_{A^l}(\gamma', z) \leq e_{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence, $y \in B_{e_{\mathcal{D}}}(\beta) \cap B_{e_{\mathcal{D}}}(\beta')$ and $z \in B_{e_{\mathcal{E}}}(\gamma) \cap B_{e_{\mathcal{E}}}(\gamma')$. It follows that $\beta = \beta'$, $\gamma = \gamma'$ and

$$2e_C = e_{\mathcal{D}} + e_{\mathcal{E}} = d_{A^m}(\beta, x) + d_{A^l}(\gamma, y) \leq e_C.$$

Which, by Proposition 2 is a contradiction since C is a non trivial perfect code. □

The following is a useful criterion:

Proposition 10: A code $C \subseteq A^n$ is decomposable if and only if there exist $J, K \subsetneq I_n$ such that $J \cup K = I_n$, $J \cap K = \emptyset$ and $|C| = \left|\pi_J(C)\right| \left|\pi_K(C)\right|$.

Proof: Assume that $C \subseteq A^n$ is a decomposable code. Then, there exist codes $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^m$, $\mathcal{E} \subseteq A^l$, and $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E} \to C$ an isomorphism such that $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma}$, where $f \in \mathrm{Conf}(A^n)$ y $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(A^n)$. If $b \in \mathcal{E}$ is a fixed element, consider the inclusion $i_b : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. If $J = \sigma(I_m)$ and $K = \sigma(I_n - I_m)$, a straightforward computation shows that $\pi_J \circ \varphi \circ i_b : \mathcal{D} \to \pi_J(C)$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, if $a \in \mathcal{D}$ is a fixed element, for

the inclusion $i_a : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$, the composition $\pi_K \circ \varphi \circ i_a : \mathcal{E} \to \pi_K(C)$ is an isomorphism. Hence,

$$(\pi_J \circ \varphi \circ i_b) \oplus (\pi_K \circ \varphi \circ i_a) : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E} \to \pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)$$

is an isomorphism and thus $C \simeq \pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)$.

Conversely, assume that $C \subseteq A^n$ is a code and that there exist $J, K \subseteq I_n$ such that $|C| = \left|\pi_J(C)\right| \left|\pi_K(C)\right|$ and satisfy that $J \cap K = \emptyset$ y $J \cup K = I_n$. The last two conditions imply that the map $\varphi : A^n \to A^n$ given by $\varphi(x) = (\pi_J(x), \pi_K(x))$ is an isometry of A^n such that $\varphi(C) \subseteq \pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)$. Since $|C| = \left|\pi_J(C)\right| \left|\pi_K(C)\right| = \left|\pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)\right|$, it follows that $\varphi(C) = \pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)$. Thus, $\varphi : C \to \pi_J(C) \oplus \pi_K(C)$ is an isomorphism. □

Example 11: If the alphabet $A = \mathbb{Z}/4$ is the ring of integers modulo 4. For the code $C \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/(4))^3$ given by $C = \{(\overline{0}, \overline{0}, \overline{0}), (\overline{2}, \overline{0}, \overline{0}), (\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{1}), (\overline{3}, \overline{2}, \overline{1}), (\overline{2}, \overline{0}, \overline{2}), (\overline{0}, \overline{0}, \overline{2}), (\overline{3}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}), (\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3})\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_1(C)| \cdot \left|\pi_{\{2,3\}}(C)\right| &= 4 \cdot 4 = 16 \\ |\pi_2(C)| \cdot \left|\pi_{\{1,3\}}(C)\right| &= 2 \cdot 8 = 16 \\ |\pi_3(C)| \cdot \left|\pi_{\{1,2\}}(C)\right| &= 4 \cdot 4 = 16 \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 10, C is indecomposable.

Proposition 12: Let $C, C' \subseteq A^m$ and $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}' \subseteq A^l$. Assume that $\varphi : C \oplus \mathcal{D} \to C' \oplus \mathcal{D}'$ is an isomorphism and let $f \in \mathrm{Conf}(A^{m+l})$, $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(A^{m+l})$ such that $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma}$. If $\sigma(I_m) = I_m$, then C is isomorphic to C', and $\mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}'$.

Proof: Let $b \in \mathcal{D}$ and consider the inclusion $i_b : C \to C \oplus \mathcal{D}$. Since $\sigma(I_m) = I_m$, it follows that $\pi_{I_m} \circ \varphi \circ i_b : C \to C'$ is an isomorphism. From $\sigma(I_m) = I_m$ it follows that $\sigma(I_{m+l} - I_m) = I_{m+l} - I_m$, and if $a \in C$ is a fixed element and $i_a : \mathcal{D} \to C \oplus \mathcal{D}$ is the corresponding inclusion, then $\pi_{I_{m+l} - I_m} \circ \varphi \circ i_a : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ is an isomorphism. □

Definition 13: A code $C \subseteq A^{n+1}$ is *degenerated* if there exist $x \in A$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq A^n$ such that $C \simeq \{x\} \oplus \mathcal{D}$. Otherwise we say that C is a *non degenerated code*.

Corollary 14: A code $C \subseteq A^n$ is degenerated if and only if there exists $i \in I_n$ such that $|\pi_i(C)| = 1$.

Corollary 15: If $C \subseteq A^n$ is a non degenerated code of cardinality p, with p a prime integer, then C is indecomposable.

III. Group codes

In this section we assume that the alphabet is a finite group G. A *group code* is a subgroup $C \subseteq G^n$. If $C \subseteq G^n$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ are group codes, a *morphism of group codes* $\varphi : C \to \mathcal{D}$ is morphism of codes that is also a homomorphism of groups. In particular, an *isomorphism of group codes* is an isomorphism of groups which is an isometry.

Example 16: If $I_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, for all $\emptyset \neq Y \subsetneq I_n$ and any group code $C \subseteq G^n$, the projection $\pi_Y : C \to \pi(C)$ is a morphism of group codes. Given group codes $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq G^l$, for the identity $\overline{e}_l \in G^l$, the inclusion $i_{\overline{e}_l} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ is a morphism of group codes.

Clearly, every $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$ is an automorphism of group codes. Hence, if φ is an automorphism of the group code G^n, by Theorem 3, there exist $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathrm{Conf}(G^n)$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$ such that $\varphi \circ \overline{\sigma}^{-1} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$. Therefore, f_i is an automorphism of the group code G. From Corollary 4 we obtain:

Proposition 17: Let G be a finite group and $C \subseteq G^n$ be a group code. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(C)$ the automorphism group of the group code C, and by $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ the automorphism group of the group C. Then, $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(G^n) = (\mathrm{Aut}(G))^n \rtimes \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$.

Our main result shows that in the category of group codes, the indecomposable codes determine the group codes and the morphisms between them:

Theorem 18: Every group code C is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable codes, that is $C \simeq \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_r$, where each $\mathcal{D}_i$ is an indecomposable group code. This decomposition is unique up to permutation of the factors and isomorphisms, that is, if we also have that $C \simeq \mathcal{D}'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}'_s$, where each $\mathcal{D}'_i$ is an indecomposable group code, then $r = s$ and there exists a permutation $\gamma \in S_r$ such that $\mathcal{D}_i \simeq \mathcal{D}'_{\gamma(i)}$ for each $i \in I_r$.

Proof: If there is a group code $C \subseteq G^n$ that can not be written as a sum of indecomposables, there is one such code of minimal length. This code cannot be indecomposable and thus there exist $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{E}$ such that $C \simeq \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. Since the lengths of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are strictly less than n, by assumption $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are sum of indecomposables. That is, $\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{B}_s$, where all $\mathcal{A}_i$ and $\mathcal{B}_j$ are indecomposable. Hence,

$$C \simeq \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E} \simeq (\mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A}_r) \oplus (\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{B}_s),$$

a contradiction. For the uniqueness property, assume that

$$C \simeq \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_r \simeq \mathcal{D}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}'_s$$

with all $\mathcal{D}_i$ and $\mathcal{D}'_i$ indecomposable group codes, and that $r \leq s$. We do induction on r. If $r = 1$, then $C \simeq \mathcal{D}_1 \simeq \mathcal{D}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}'_2 \oplus \cdots \mathcal{D}'_s$ is indecomposable and we must then have that $s = 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \simeq \mathcal{D}'_1$. Assume that the result is valid up to r and that there is an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_r \oplus \mathcal{D}_{r+1} \simeq \mathcal{D}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}'_s$$

If n is the length of C, by Proposition 17 there exist $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)^n$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$ such that $\varphi = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \circ \overline{\sigma}$.

Let $I_{\mathcal{D}_1} = I_{n_1} \subsetneq I_n$ be the set of indexes that label the coordinates of $\mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq G^{n_1}$ in the sum $\mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_{m+1}$. Likewise, let $I_{\mathcal{D}'_i} \subsetneq I_n$ be the set of indexes that label the coordinates of $\mathcal{D}'_i$ in the sum $\mathcal{D}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{D}'_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}'_s$. Then, $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cap I_{\mathcal{D}'_i} \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in I_s$, and we may assume that $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cap I_{\mathcal{D}'_1} \neq \emptyset$. We claim that $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{D}'_1}$. Indeed, if otherwise $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \nsubseteq I_{\mathcal{D}'_1}$, let $J = \sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cap I_{\mathcal{D}'_1}$ and $K = \sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) - I_{\mathcal{D}'_1}$. For the identity $\overline{e}$ of G^{n_1} and the corresponding inclusion $i_{\overline{e}} : \mathcal{D}_1 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_i$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_J &= \pi_J \circ \varphi \circ i_{\overline{e}} : \mathcal{D}_1 \to \pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \\ \psi_K &= \pi_K \circ \varphi \circ i_{\overline{e}} : \mathcal{D}_1 \to \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \end{aligned}$$

and the group code morphism

$$\psi : \mathcal{D}_1 \to \pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \oplus \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$$

given by $\psi(x) = (\psi_J(x), \psi_K(x))$ for $x \in G^{n_1}$. Since $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) = J \cup K$ and $J \cap K = \emptyset$, then $\pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \oplus \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$ and $\mathcal{D}_1$ have the same length n_1 and $d(\psi(x), \psi(y)) = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in G^{n_1}$. To show that ψ is a group code morphism observe that

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_1) \subseteq \pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \oplus \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))).$$

For the other inclusion, if $a \in \pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$, since $J \subseteq I_{\mathcal{D}'_1}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_1$ such that $\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\alpha)) = (a_1, \overline{e_1}) \in \varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))$,

where $a_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1'$ and $\overline{e_1}$ is the identity of $\bigoplus_{i=2}^m \mathcal{D}_i$. Therefore $\pi_J(a_1, \overline{e_1}) = a$ and $\pi_K(a_1, \overline{e_1}) = \overline{e_K}$, where $\overline{e_K}$ is the identity of $\pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$. If $b \in \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$, since $K \cap I_{\mathcal{D}_1'} = \emptyset$, there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{D}_1$ such that $\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\beta)) = (\overline{e_2}, b_1) \in \varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))$, where $\overline{e_2}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{D}_1'$ and $b_1 \in \bigoplus_{i=2}^m \mathcal{D}_i$. Therefore, $\pi_K(\overline{e_2}, b_1) = b$ and $\pi_K(\overline{e_2}, b_1) = \overline{e_J}$, where $\overline{e_J}$ is the identity of $\pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$. Hence, for $(a,b) \in \pi_J(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1))) \oplus \pi_K(\varphi(i_{\overline{e}}(\mathcal{D}_1)))$, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}_1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\alpha\beta) &= \psi(\alpha)\psi(\beta) = (\psi_J(\alpha), \psi_K(\alpha))\,(\psi_J(\beta), \psi_K(\beta)) \\ &= (a, \overline{e_K})\,(\overline{e_J}, b) = (a, b)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, ψ is a group code isomorphism and hence $\mathcal{D}_1$ is a decomposable code, a contradiction. It follows that $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{D}_1'}$. A similar argument, for $\varphi^{-1} = (f_{\sigma^{-1}})^{-1} \circ \overline{\sigma}^{-1}$ shows that $\sigma^{-1}(I_{\mathcal{D}_1'}) \subseteq I_{\mathcal{D}_1}$, and hence $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) = I_{\mathcal{D}_1'}$. From Proposition 12, $\mathcal{D}_1 \simeq \mathcal{D}_1'$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_{m+1} \simeq \mathcal{D}_2' \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_s'$. By the induction hypothesis the result follows. □

For each $j \in I_m$, consider a group code $\mathcal{D}_j \subseteq G^{n_j}$ and the direct sum group code $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j \subseteq G^n$, where $n = \sum_{j=1}^m n_j$. Let $\overline{e_j}$ be the identity of G^{n_j} and $i_{\overline{e_j}}$ the corresponding inclusion of $\mathcal{D}_j$ in $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j$. We denote its image by $i_{\overline{e_j}}(\mathcal{D}_j) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j}$.

Corollary 19: Let $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j \subseteq G^n$ be a direct sum of indecomposable group codes and $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j)$, with $f \in (\mathrm{Aut}(G))^n$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$. If $I_{\mathcal{D}_j} \subseteq I_n$ is the set of indexes that label the elements of $\mathcal{D}_j$ in the sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j$, then $\sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_j}) \cap I_{\mathcal{D}_k} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_k}$.

If $\mathcal{E} \subseteq G^n$ is an indecomposable group code and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we use the notation $\mathcal{E}^\alpha = \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{E}_\alpha$, where $\mathcal{E}_j = \mathcal{E}$ for each $j \in I_\alpha$. Thus, if $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_i \subseteq G^n$ is a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, joining together the isomorphic group codes and reindexing we may write

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_i \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{E}_j^{\alpha_j},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_j \simeq \mathcal{D}_{i_j}$ for some $i_j \in I_m$ and $\mathcal{E}_s \neq \mathcal{E}_t$ if $s \neq t$.

Corollary 20: Let $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$ be a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, with $\mathcal{D}_s \neq \mathcal{D}_t$ for $s \neq t$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right)$, then $\varphi\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}}$.

Proposition 21: If $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$ is a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, where $\mathcal{D}_s \neq \mathcal{D}_t$ for $s \neq t$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right)$ is a group isomorphic to $\prod_{j=1}^k \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right)$.

Proof: For each $j \in I_k$ let $I_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ be the set of indexes that label the elements of $\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$ in the sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$. Let $i_{\overline{e_j}}$ be the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$ in the sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$. The following diagram commutes



By Corollary 20, $\varphi_j = \pi_{\mathcal{D}_j} \circ \varphi \circ i_{\overline{e_j}}$ is an automorphism of the group code $\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$, for each $j \in I_k$. Since $i_{\overline{e_j}} \circ \pi_{I_{\mathcal{D}_j}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}}$, if $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi \circ \varphi)_j &= \pi_{I_{\mathcal{D}_j}} \circ \psi \circ \varphi \circ i_{\overline{e_j}} = \pi_{I_{\mathcal{D}_j}} \circ \psi \circ i_{\overline{e_j}} \circ \pi_{I_{\mathcal{D}_j}} \circ \varphi \circ i_{\overline{e_j}} \\ &= \psi_j \circ \varphi_j.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the map

$$\chi : \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right) \to \prod_{j=1}^k \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}\left(\mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}\right)$$

given by $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ is a homomorphism of groups, which clearly is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 22: Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ be an indecomposable group code and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha)$ is a group isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D})^\alpha \rtimes S_\alpha$.

Proof: $\mathcal{D}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_i$, where $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}$ for each $i \in I_\alpha$. By Corollary 19 for each $j \in I_\alpha$ there exists a unique $k_j \in I_\alpha$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{k_j}}$ Let $\gamma : I_\alpha \to I_\alpha$ be the permutation given by $\gamma(j) = k_j$ and let $\overline{\gamma}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$ be defined by $\overline{\gamma}^{-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_\alpha) = \left(a_{\gamma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, a_{\gamma^{-1}(\alpha)}\right)$ for $(a_1, \ldots, a_\alpha) \in (G^m)^\alpha$, where $a_j \in G^m$ for $j \in I_\alpha$. Note that $\overline{\gamma}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}_j}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}}$. For each $j \in I_\alpha$, let $i_j : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}^\alpha$ be the j-th inclusion of $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$, and let $I_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ be the set of indexes of the j-th summand of $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$. We then have a commutative diagram



where $\varphi_j = \pi_{I_j} \circ \varphi \circ \overline{\gamma} \circ i_j$ is an automorphism of group codes of $\mathcal{D}$. Clearly, $\varphi \circ \overline{\gamma}^{-1} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \varphi_j$.

If $H = \{\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha) : (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_\alpha) \in (\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}))^\alpha\}$ and $N = \{\overline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha) \mid \gamma \in I_\alpha\}$, then H and N are subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha)$. A direct computation shows that

$$\overline{\gamma}^{-1} \circ \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \circ \overline{\gamma} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \varphi_{\gamma^{-1}(j)}$$

for all elements $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \varphi_j \in H$ and $\overline{\gamma} \in N$. Therefore, H is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D})$ and since $H \cap N = Id_{\mathcal{D}^\alpha}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(D)^\alpha = H \rtimes N$. Since $H \simeq (\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(D))^\alpha$ and $N \simeq S_\alpha$, the result follows. □

Propositions 21 and 22 give:

Theorem 23: If $\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j}$ is a direct sum of indecomposable group codes, where $\mathcal{D}_s \neq \mathcal{D}_t$ for $s \neq t$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j^{\alpha_j})$ is a group isomorphic to $\prod_{j=1}^k ((\mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}))^{\alpha_j} \rtimes S_{\alpha_j})$.

The following result gives examples of indecomposable group codes. We say that $C \subseteq G^n$ is a *constant weight group code* if there exists an integer $0 < r \leq n$, such that $C - \{\overline{e}_n\} \subseteq B_{\overline{e}_n}^r$, where $\overline{e}_n$ is the identity of G^n. If $x \in G^n$, the *weight* of x in G^n is $w(x) = d(x, \overline{e}_n)$. Then, $C \subseteq G^n$ is a constant weight code of weight r if and only if $w(x) = r$, for all $x \in C - \{\overline{e}_n\}$.

Proposition 24: Every non degenerated constant weight code is indecomposable.

Proof: Assume that there exist a group code $C \subseteq G^n$ of constant weight r and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^k$ y $\mathcal{E} \subseteq G^l$ group codes such that $C \simeq \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{E}$. Since C is non degenerated, then $|\mathcal{D}| \geq 2$ and

$|\mathcal{E}| \geq 2$. Hence, there exist $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{E}$, with $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b_1 \neq b_2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} r = w((a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1b_2^{-1})) &= d_{G^n}((a_1,b_1),(a_2,b_2)) \\ &= d_{G^m}(a_1,a_2) + d_{G^l}(b_1,b_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r = w((a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1b_1^{-1})) = d_{G^n}((a_1,b_1)(a_2,b_1)) = d_{G^m}(a_1,a_2)$$

then $d_{G^l}(b_1,b_2) = 0$ and so $b_1 = b_2$, a contradiction. □

IV. The Structure of Cyclic Group Codes

For any finite alphabet A, a *cyclic code* is a code $C \subseteq A^n$ such that for all $c \in C$ and for the n-cycle $\delta = (1,\ldots,n) \in S_n$ for the equivalence $\overline{\delta}$ we have that $\overline{\delta}(c) \in C$. A *cyclic group code* is a cyclic code $C \subseteq G^n$ which is also a subgroup of G^n.

Theorem 25: Let $C \subseteq G^n$ be a decomposable cyclic group code, say $C \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j$, with $\mathcal{D}_j$ indecomposable group codes for each $j \in I_m$. Then, $\mathcal{D}_j \simeq \mathcal{D}_1$ for each $j \in I_m$.

Proof: Let $\varphi : C \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j$ be an isomorphism of group codes. Let $f \in (\mathrm{Aut}(G))^n$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$ such that $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma}$. For the cycle $\delta = (12\ldots n) \in S_n$, since $C \subseteq G^n$ is a cyclic group code, then $\overline{\delta}^t \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(C)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\varphi \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ \varphi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ \varphi^{-1} &= f \circ \overline{\sigma} \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ (f_{\sigma^{-1}})^{-1} \circ \overline{\sigma}^{-1} \\ &= f \circ ((f_{\sigma^{-1}})^{-1})_{\sigma\circ\delta^t} \circ \overline{\sigma} \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ \overline{\sigma}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

For each $j \in I_m$ let $I_{\mathcal{D}_j}$ be the set of indexes that label the elements of $\mathcal{D}_j$ in the sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{D}_j$. If $k_j \in I_{\mathcal{D}_j}$, there exists $t_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\delta^{t_j} \circ \sigma^{-1})(1) = \sigma^{-1}(k_j)$ or equivalently $(\sigma \circ \delta^{t_j} \circ \sigma^{-1})(1) = k_j$. That is, $(\sigma \circ \delta^{t_j} \circ \sigma^{-1})(I_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \cap I_{\mathcal{D}_j} \neq \emptyset$, and thus, by Corollary 19, $\mathcal{D}_j \simeq \mathcal{D}_1$ for each $j \in I_m$. □

Corollary 26: Let $C \subseteq G^n$ be a cyclic group code and write its order as $|C| = p_1^{\xi_1} \cdots p_s^{\xi_s}$ with p_i prime integers. Let $\xi = \gcd(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$ be its greatest common factor. If $\gcd(\xi, n) = 1$, then C is an indecomposable group code.

Proof: If $C \subseteq G^n$ is a decomposable cyclic group code, by Proposition 25, $C \simeq \mathcal{D}^\alpha$, where $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ is an indecomposable group code and $\alpha \geq 2$. Since the lengths of C and $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$ are the same, then $m\alpha = n$. Writing $|\mathcal{D}| = p_1^{\zeta_1} \cdots p_s^{\zeta_s}$, then $\zeta_i\alpha = \xi_i$, and thus $\alpha \geq 2$ divides ξ. Since by hypothesis $\gcd(\xi, n) = 1$, then $\alpha = 1$, a contradiction. □

Example 27: The converse of Corollary 26 is false. Indeed, if G is a finite group such that $|G^n| = p_1^{\xi_1} \cdots p_s^{\xi_s}$ with $\xi = \gcd(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$, then G^n is a decomposable group code for all $n \geq 2$, in particular for n such that $\gcd(\xi, n) = 1$.

Proposition 28: If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ is a cyclic group code, then for any nonnegative integer ℓ, $\mathcal{D}^\ell$ is isomorphic to a cyclic group code.

Proof: Put $n = \ell m$. Every $t \in I_n$ can be written in a unique way as $t = sm + r$, with $0 \leq s \leq \ell - 1$ and $1 \leq r \leq m$. Define $\sigma \in S_n$ by $\sigma(t) = \sigma(sm + r) = (r-1)\ell + (s+1)$. Consider the cycle $\delta = (1\cdots n) \in S_n$ and

$$((a_{11}, a_{12}, \ldots, a_{1m}), (a_{21}, a_{22}, \ldots, a_{2m}), \ldots, (a_{\ell 1}, a_{\ell 2}, \ldots, a_{\ell m})) \in \mathcal{D}^\ell.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\delta}(\overline{\sigma}((a_{11},\ldots,a_{1m}),(a_{21},\ldots,a_{2m}),\ldots,(a_{\ell 1},\ldots,a_{\ell m}))) \\ &\quad= \overline{\delta}(a_{11},\ldots,a_{\ell 1},a_{12},\ldots,a_{\ell 2},\ldots,a_{1m},\ldots,a_{\ell-1m},a_{\ell m}) \\ &\quad= (\underbrace{a_{\ell m}, a_{11},\ldots,a_{(\ell-1)1}}_{\ell-places}, \underbrace{a_{\ell 1}, a_{12},\ldots,a_{(\ell-1)2}}_{\ell-places}, \ldots, \\ &\qquad\qquad \underbrace{a_{\ell(m-1)}, a_{1m},\ldots,a_{\ell-1m}}_{\ell-places}) \\ &\quad= \overline{\sigma}((a_{\ell m}, a_{\ell 1},\ldots,a_{\ell(m-1)}),(a_{11},a_{12},\ldots,a_{1m}),\ldots, \\ &\qquad\qquad (a_{(\ell-1)1}, a_{(\ell-1)2},\ldots,a_{(\ell-1)m})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C = \overline{\sigma}(\mathcal{D}^\ell)$ is a cyclic group code. □

Example 29: For $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the group of integers modulo 2, consider the group code

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(0,0,0),(\overline{1},\overline{1},0),(0,\overline{1},\overline{1}),(\overline{1},0,\overline{1})\} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3.$$

By Proposition 28, $\mathcal{D}^2 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^6$ is isomorphic to a cyclic group code C by means of the equivalence $\overline{\sigma}$ whose permutation $\sigma \in S_6$ is given by $\sigma(1) = 1$, $\sigma(2) = 3$, $\sigma(3) = 5$, $\sigma(4) = 2$, $\sigma(5) = 4$, $\sigma(6) = 6$.

$\mathcal{D}^2$		C
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},0,0,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{0},0)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},1,1,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{0},0)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},0,1,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{0},1)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},1,0,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{0},1)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},0,0,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{0},0)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},1,1,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{0},0)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},0,1,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{0},1)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},1,0,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{0},1)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},0,0,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{1},0)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},1,1,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{1},0)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},0,1,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{1},1)$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1},1,0,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{1},1)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},0,0,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{1},0)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},1,1,0)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{1},0)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},0,1,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},0,\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{1},1)$
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1},1,0,1)$	$\mapsto$	$(\mathbf{1},1,\mathbf{0},0,\mathbf{1},1)$

Proposition 30: Every decomposable cyclic group code is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable cyclic group codes.

Proof: Let $C \subseteq G^n$ be a decomposable cyclic group code. By Theorem 25, there exists an indecomposable group code $\mathcal{D} \subseteq G^m$ such that $C \simeq \mathcal{D}^\alpha$. I.e., there exists an isomorphism of group codes $\varphi : \mathcal{D}^\alpha \to C$, with $\varphi = f \circ \overline{\sigma}$ and where $f \in (\mathrm{Aut}(G))^n$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Equ}(G^n)$. Recall that $\mathcal{D}^\alpha = \mathcal{D}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ with $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{D}$ for each $i \in I_\alpha$. Let $I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ be the set of indexes that label the coordinates of $\mathcal{D}_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$. For each $i \in I_\alpha$, let $J_{\mathcal{D}_i} = \sigma(I_{\mathcal{D}_i})$. If $\delta = (1\cdots n) \in S_n$ and $K_i = \left\{\overline{\delta}^t \mid \delta^t(J_{\mathcal{D}_i}) = J_{\mathcal{D}_i}\right\}$. Since $\varphi^{-1} \circ \overline{\delta}^s \circ \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{GC}(\mathcal{D}^\alpha)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varphi^{-1} \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ \varphi = (f_{\sigma^{-1}})^{-1} \circ f_{\sigma^{-1}\circ\delta^t} \circ \overline{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \overline{\delta}^t \circ \overline{\sigma}$, we have that $\overline{\delta}^t \in K_i$ if and only if $(\sigma^{-1} \circ \delta^t \circ \sigma)(I_{\mathcal{D}_i}) = I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$. K_i acts naturally on $I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ by $\overline{\delta}^t \in K_i$ and $j \in I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$, $\overline{\delta}^t \cdot j := (\sigma^{-1} \circ \delta^t \circ \sigma)(j)$. Since C is a cyclic group code, if $j \in I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$, its orbit is $\mathrm{Orb}(j) = I_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ and its stabilizer is $\mathrm{Stab}(j) = \mathrm{Id}_{G^n}$. From the orbit-stabilizer theorem it follows that $|K_i| = m$. Moreover, since H is a cyclic group, then $K_i = \langle\overline{\delta}^{t_0}\rangle$, where t_0 divides n. Therefore, the order of this element is $o(\overline{\delta}^{t_0}) = |K_i| = m$. It then

follows that $t_0 = \alpha$, and thus $K_i = \langle \overline{\delta}^{\alpha} \rangle$. Hence, if $j_i = \min J_{\mathcal{D}_i}$, then $J_{\mathcal{D}_i} = \{j_i, \delta^{\alpha}(j_i), \delta^{2\alpha}(j_i), \ldots, \delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)\}$. The composition $\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}} \circ \varphi \circ i_{\mathcal{D}_i} : \mathcal{D}_i \to \pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ (where $i_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ is the inclusion of $\mathcal{D}_i$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}$) is an isomorphism of group codes. Therefore $C \simeq \pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_1}}(C) \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}}}(C)$. It remains to show that each summand $\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ is a cyclic group code. To do this, observe that for every $(a_{j_i}, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, a_{\delta^{2\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}) \in \pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ there exists $(\ldots, a_{j_i}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{2\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots) \in C$ such that

$$\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(\ldots, a_{j_i}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{2\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots) = (a_{j_i}, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, a_{\delta^{2\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}).$$

And since

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(\overline{\delta}^{(m-1)\alpha}(\ldots, a_{j_i}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{2\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots)) \\ = \pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(\ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{j_i}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-2)\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots) \\ = (a_{\delta^{(m-1)\alpha}(j_i)}, a_{j_i}, a_{\delta^{\alpha}(j_i)}, \ldots, a_{\delta^{(m-2)\alpha}(j_i)})\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ is a cyclic code for every $i \in I_{\alpha}$. Since the lengt of $\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ is m for every $i \in I_{\alpha}$, by Theorem 18 $\pi_{J_{\mathcal{D}_i}}(C)$ is an indecomposable code for every $i \in I_{\alpha}$. □

Example 31: Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and for each $i \in I_m$ let G_i be a finite group and $G = \prod_{i=1}^{m} G_i$. If $C_i \subseteq G_i^n$ is a cyclic group code for each $i \in I_m$, we define the *join* of the family of group codes $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ as the group code $\coprod_{i=1}^{m} C_i = \{((h_{11}, h_{21}, \ldots, h_{m1}), \ldots, (h_{1n}, h_{2n}, \ldots, h_{mn})) \in G^n : (h_{i1}, h_{i2}, \ldots, h_{in}) \in C_i\}$, which clearly is a cyclic group code.

V. Conclusions

With the definition of morphism of codes that we introduced for arbitrary group codes, the concept of isomorphism of codes coincides with the classical one for linear codes over Frobenius rings, in particular for linear codes over finite fields. All classification results are generalized in the new context with streamlined proofs.

References

[1] E. F. Assmus, Jr., "The Category of Linear Codes," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* Vol. **44**, No. 2 pp 612-629, March 1998.

[2] I. Constantinescu and W. Heise, "On the Concept of Code-Isomorphy," *Journal of Geometry* Vol. **57**, pp 63-69, 1996.

[3] R. W. Hamming, "Error Detecting and Error Correcting Codes," *The Bell System Technical Journal* Vol. xxix,No. 2, April, 1950.

[4] F. J. MacWilliams, "Error-Correcting Codes for Multiple-Level Transmission," *Bell System Tech J.* **40** (1961), pp. 281-308.

[5] F. J. MacWilliams, "Combinatorial Problems of Elementary Abelian Groups ," *Ph. D. Thesis.* Radcliffe College, Cambridge, Mass, 1962.

[6] A. A. Nechaev, "Finite Rings with Applications". In *Handbook of Algebra*, Vol. **5**. Edited by M. Hazewinkel, Amsterdam, *North Holland* (2008), pp. 213-320.

[7] I. S. Reed, and G. Solomon, "Polynomial Codes over Certain Finite Fields," *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* Vol. **8**, No. 2 (Jun., 1960), pp. 300-304.

[8] D. Slepian, "Some Further Theory of Group Codes," *The Bell System Technical Journal,* Vol. **39**, pp 1219-1252, 1960.

[9] J. A. Wood, "Duality for Modules over Finite Rings and Applications to Coding Theory" *American Journal of Mathematics,* Vol. **121**, Number 3, June 1999, pp. 555-575.